



19 February 2018

MR. ALEXANDER V. BUENAVENTURA

Acting Chairperson

MR. MANUEL H. LOPEZ JR. President & CEO (PCEO)

LBP-LEASING AND FINANCE CORPORATION (LBP-LFC)

15/F SyCip Law Center, 105 Paseo de Roxas,

Legaspi Village, Makati City

: VALIDATION RESULT OF CY 2016 PERFORMANCE

SCORECARD OF LBP-LFC

Dear Chairperson Buenaventura and PCEO Lopez.

This is to formally transmit the validation result of LBP-LFC's CY 2016 Performance Scorecard. Based on the validation of documentary submissions, the LBP-LFC gained an over-all score of 68.77% (See Annex A).

In relation to its application for the grant of CY 2016 PBB to eligible officers and employees, the LBP-LFC fails to satisfy the requirements of GCG MEMORANDUM CIRCULAR (MC) NO. 2017-01 and the Checklist of Documents to be submitted by GOCCs to Qualify for the FY 2016 Performance-Based Bonus (PBB), particularly the achievement of a weighted-average score of at least 90% in its CY 2016 Performance Scorecard. In this regard, the Board is reminded that any unilateral action to release the PBB will be considered as a violation of the Board's fiduciary duty to protect the assets of the GOCC as provided under Section 19 of Republic Act No. 10149.1

Consequently, pursuant to GCG M.C. No. 2014-06, failure to qualify for the PBB means that the Appointive Members of the Governing Board of LBP-LFC shall not be qualified to receive the Performance-Based Incentive (PBI).

FOR YOUR INFORMATION AND GUIDANCE.

Very truly yours,

SAMUEL/G. DAGPIN. JR.

¢hairma

MICHAEL PL CLORIBEL

Commissioner 1-8

MARITÉS CRUZ

Commissioner 2

cc: COA Resident Auditor - LBP-LFC

¹ GOCC Governance Act of 2011

LBP-LEASING AND FINANCE CORPORATION 2016 PERFORMANCE SCORECARD

			Component			Target	LBP LFC Sub	mission	GCG Valida	ition	Supporting	Romado
	Object	tive/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2016	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating	Document	Remarks
	SO 1	Ensure Sustai	nable Financial	Growth								
FINANCIAL	SM 1	Increase Total Portfolio	Absolute Amount	15%	(Actual / Target) x Weight	₽4.25 Billion	₽3.57 Billion	12.60%	₽3.57 Billion	12.61%	List of Loan/Lease Portfolio as of 31 December 2016 per Industry with corresponding amount	The achievement was only 84% of the target. The 2016 total loan portfolio also decreased by 3.49% or P129 Million compared to previous year's amount. The slight difference in the rating is due to the use of the full amount of accomplishment and two decimal places by GCG. LBP-LFC requested to revise the target Total Portfolio from P4.25 Billion to P3.5 Billion due to prolonged lack of manpower and low salary which led to the reduction in the overall performance of the Corporation. The Governance Commission allows the renegotiation of target per GCG Memorandum Circular No. 2013-02 (Re-Issued) for measures and targets that are not feasible due to substantial changes in circumstances that could not have been foreseen at the time the targets were agreed upon. Ability to retain and attract talent are inherent challenges of running a corporation. In the case of the

LBP-LFC | Page 2 of 12
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

Harris Maria	Component				Target LBP LFC Submission			GCG Validation		Remarks
Objective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2016	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating	Document	Remarks
									·	government, competitive compensation has always been a cause of fast turn over and inability to attract talent. As these challenges are inherent and underlying challenges in any organization, using these as justification to lower down set target is not acceptable.

LBP-LFC | Page 3 of 12
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

		Component			Target	LBP LFC Sub	mission	GCG Valid	ation	Supporting	
Obj	ective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2016	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating	Document	Remarks
SM	Maintain Net 2 Past Due Rate	Total Past Due less Deferred Leasing Income / Unearned Credits & Specific Loan Loss Provision Over Total Portfolio	10%	(1-((Actual- Target)/target) x Weight)	2%	4.68%	0%	4.68%	0%	Net Past Due Computation Sheet as of 31 December 2016 List of Past Due Accounts (Short-Term Loans, Term Loans, Financial Leases, Transportation - Refleeting, Restructured Past Due and Items in Litigation) Schedule of Loss Provision	The target for the measure was set at 2% for 2016 retaining the 2015 target. Per representation, the Net Past Due rate increased as a result of the decrease in total loan portfolio and the increase in amount of Past Due Accounts by \$\mathbb{P}\text{86.11}\$ Million or 33.98% compared to CY 2015. LBP-LFC requested to renegotiate the target from 2% to 5% because of manpower constraints which affected the corporation's client/account generation and monitoring capabilities. As explained above, LBP-LFC has always experienced challenges in hiring additional personnel. However, during the negotiation for 2016 target, LBP-LFC already laid out its strategy to activate/ operationalize the Remedial Accounts Management Unit to help achieve its target of NPDR. As previously stated, request for renegotiation will only cover unforeseeable events at the time of the negotiation. Given that LBP-LFC provided initiatives to address manpower challenges, such can not be considered as unforeseeable hence, the request is \$\mathbf{DENIED}\text{.}

LBP-LFC | Page 4 of 12
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

		Component			Target	LBP LFC Subm	ission	GCG Valida	tion	Supporting	
Object	ive/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2016	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating	Document	Remarks
SO 2	Consistently In	crease Net Inc	ome								
SM 3	Increase Net Income After Tax	Absolute Amount	15%	(Actual / Target) x Weight	₽160 Million	₽109.50 Million	10.27%	₽90.51 Million	8.48%	 COA Annual Audit Report for the year ended 31 December 2016 LBP-LFC Unaudited Income Statement for the year ended 31 December 2016 	The target for 2016 is AMIllion as projected in the LELFC 2016 CC LBP-LFC was unable to hit target. A closer look of financial statement reveals the CY 2016 rever decreased by AMILLION 47.78 Million 9.31% while the expensincreased by AMILLION 77.29 Million 1.98% compared to CY 2011 LBP-LFC requested to redit the amount of targeted Income from AMILLION Million. The representation, the manpor constraints affected LBP-LF client/account generation with translated to less volume, loop portfolio, higher past due in and lower net income. explained above, request renegotiation of target DENIED.
	Sub-total		40%				22.87%		21.09%		

LBP-LFC | Page 5 of 12 Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

		Component			Target	LBP LFC Subr	nission	GCG Valida	tion	Supporting	Remarks
Objec	ctive/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2016	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating	Document	Remains
SO 3	Increase and	Maintain Portfoli	io of Prior	ity Accounts					(4) 经支票债		
SM 4	Increase Percentage of Priority Sectors Portfolio	Percentage of Priority Sectors Portfolio over Total Portfolio	20%	(Actual / Target) x Weight	70%	74.99%	20%	74.99%	20%	 Report on Industry with corresponding Amount of Portfolio List of Accounts under Priority Sectors as of 31 December 2016 	The target is set at 70% of To Portfolio and reflects an 8 increase from the 2015 targ of 65
SM 5	Increase Number of Units under Special Financing / Leasing Programs to Support Government Projects	Absolute Number	5%	(Actual / Target) x Weight	75 Units	72 Units	4.80%	71 Units	4.73%	Letter of Guarantee Credit Committee Resolution on Approvals Authority to Deliver	Date of release of the equipment will be the basis the determination of number of units. LBP-LFC report accomplishment of 72 un however, one (1) unit which included in the list was actual released on 29 December 2015, hence, the exclusion. LBP-LFC also requested for renegotiation of the target cit the same reason as in previous measures, which also DENIED.

LBP-LFC | Page 6 of 12
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

		Component		And the second	Target	LBP LFC Subm	ission	GCG Validat	ion	Supporting	Remarks
Objectiv	ve/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2016	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating	Document	Remarks
SO 4	Improved Serv	ice Delivery									
SM 6	Customer Satisfaction Rating Based on In-house Survey	85% of respondents should have rated LBP- LFC "Satisfactory" or higher	5%	All or Nothing	85% of respondents should have rated LBP LFC "Satisfactory" or higher	99.01% of respondents gave rating of Satisfactory or Higher	5%	99.01% of respondents gave rating of Satisfactory or Higher	5%	Letter of LBP to LBP-LFC on the Former's Review on the Survey Customer Satisfaction Survey Results List of respondents List of Recipients of Survey Form	The survey used a 5-porating scale with 5 as highest or excellent rating. Of 284 Clients, only 43.31% 123 participated. Only 1 out 123 respondents rated LELFC below satisfactory (3 higher). LBP-LFC's avera satisfactory rating was 4.38 Terms and Condition Leasing and Finance Services, Processing time a quality of response applications/requests, Handling of accounts. Product and Services satisfaction rational was 4.34. Overall, LBP-LFCs satisfaction rational for 2016 was 4.36. The result was an improveming from the 2015 result when 95.71% rated LBP-L "Satisfactory or higher".
	Sub-total		30%			-	29.80%		29.73%		

LBP-LFC | Page 7 of 12
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

		Component			Target	LBP LFC Subm	nission	GCG Valida	tion	Supporting	Remarks
Objec	tive/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2016	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating	Document	Rellidiks
SO 5	Improve Effici	ency and Qualit	y of Credi	t Processes							Article (englished for some sub-
SM 7	Average No. of Days to Process Applications of New Clients from receipt of Complete Docs to Approval	Total Actual No. of Days for All Applications of New Clients from Receipt of Complete Documents over Total No. of Applications Processed / Approved	5%	(1-((Actual- Target)/target)) x Weight	45 days	41.31 days	5%	41.31 days	5%	List of Credit Approvals of New Clients for the period 01 January 2016 to 31 December 2016	LBP-LFC received sixteen (16 applications from new clients in 2016 with total credit facilities amounting to P495 Million. For 2016, the average processing of the sixteen (16) application was 41.31 days, which is stit considered efficient considering the increase in number of applications from new clients.
SM 8	Average No. of Days to Process Applications of Existing Clients from Receipt of Complete Docs to Approval	Total Actual No. of Days for All Applications of Existing Clients from receipt of Complete Documents over Total No. of Applications Processed / Approved	5%	(1-((Actual- Target)/target)) x Weight	30 days	29.77 days	5%	29.77 days	5%	List of Credit Approvals of Existing Clients for the period 01 January 2016 to 31 December 2016	There were thirty-two (32) nerapplications from existin clients in 2016. Average TAT of the credit applications from Existing Clients was 29.7 days.

LBP-LFC | Page 8 of 12
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

		Component	A CERTAIN		Target	LBP LFC Sub	mission	GCG Valid	lation	Supporting	Remarks
Objectiv	ve/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2016	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating	Document	Remarks
SO 6	Expand Market	Reach									
SM 9	Number of LBP LFC Desk Establish in LBP Lending Centers outside Metro Manila	Absolute Number	5%	(Actual / Target) x Weight	5	0	0%	0	0%	None	LBP-LFC was not able to put desk in the LBP Lend Centers allegedly due Manpower Constraints. If LBP-LFC, the filing with SEC the application for establishment of extens offices was not possible as LLFC does not have manpower to assign thereto. Per representation, corporation is already fawith manpower constraints a among the requirements applying for authority establish a particular extens office is the identification specific officers who will han the three (3) major position LBP-LFC's request for deleted of this measure is denied due the same reason in SM 1 a SM 2.
	Sub-total		15%				10%		10%	-	

LBP-LFC | Page 9 of 12 Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

	1 2 34 Tibe	Component			Target	LBP LFC Sub	mission	GCG Valid	ation	Supporting	Property of the second
Obje	ctive/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2016	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating	Document	Remarks
SO 7	Attract, Devel	op, and Retain C	Competen	t Personnel	111111111111111111111111111111111111111						
SM 10	Percentage of Existing Personnel vs. Approved Manpower Complement	Actual No. of Regular Personnel over Total Approved Manpower Complement at year end	5%	(Actual / Target) x Weight	80%	61%	3.81%	58.97%	2.95%	List of Employees with position and date hired as of 31 December 2016	For 2016, total approve Manpower Complement is while only 46 positions a filled. The inclusion of this measu aims to address the prevailing manpower issue LBP-LFC faced with. The request for adjustment target percentage from 80% 78 positions (including 12 to 12 to 14 to 15 to 16 positions (excluding 12 to 16 to 16 positions (excluding 12 to 16 to 16 positions (excluding 12 to 16 to 16 positions (excluding 12 to 17 to 18 positions (excluding 12 to 18

LBP-LFC | Page 10 of 12
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

		Component			Target	LBP LFC Subn	nission	GCG Valida	tion	Supporting	AND STREET
Objec	tive/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2016	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating	Document	Remarks
SO 8	Adopt Continu	uing Productivit	y and Cor	porate Culture En	hancement Pro	grams					
SM 11	Competency Level of the Corporation	Percentage of Incumbents without Competency Gaps	5%	All or Nothing	Improvement from Baseline	Improvement from Baseline	5%	Improvement to 25.64% from baseline for 39 employees only	0%	Summary of Deficiencies in Competencies Competency Assessment Form (for each employee assessed) January 2016 and December 2016	The improvement will be calculated based on the number of employees without gaps as a percentage of the total employees assessed. LBP-LFC established a board-approved competency framework in 2015 which is also the target during the year. Thereafter, in January 2016, LBP-LFC conducted a competency assessment for its employees to determine the current competency level and established a baseline. By the time that the TWG Meeting for CY 2016 target setting was conducted, LBP-LFC had already established a baseline, hence, the 2016 target is set in terms of addressing competency gaps. In December 2016, LBP-LFC conducted another survey to all its employees through its own Competency Assessment and Inventory Tool in order to determine the improvement from baseline. The result of the assessment showed that there was an improvement for the assessed employees by 241.87% equivalent to 7 employees from the baseline of 7.5% or 3 employees without

LBP-LFC | Page 11 of 12
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

		Component	WA CEN		Target	LBP LFC Subm	nission	GCG Validat	ion	Supporting	Remarks
Object	ive/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2016	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating	Document	Remarks
SO 9	Continuous I	Improvement of I	T Infrastr	icture							competency gaps. However, upon validation, it was found out that there were seven (7) corporate officers who were not assessed. Total manpower of LBP-LFC to be assessed should have been 46. LBP-LFC was able to assess only 39 out of 46 employees. The improvement does not suffice as an accomplishment of the target since the result of the assessment for the 39 out of 46 employees does not represent the Competency level of the corporation. Hence, the rating of LBP-LFC for this measure is 0%.
SM 12	Automation of Key Processes	Actual Accomplishment vs. Work Program		All or Nothing	30% Complete Document Management, 100% Complete Customer Relationship Management	30% Complete Document Management System, 100% Complete Customer Relationship Management	5%	30% Complete Document Management System, 100% Complete Customer Relationship Management	5%	Report on Document Management System Implementation Memo on Procurement of Document Management System BAC Resolution No. 2016-023 LBP_LFC Request for Quotation PhilGEPS posting of	Document Management System is at 30% completion which corresponds to the completion of procurement such as Preparation of Purchase Request, Request for Quotation, Abstract of Quotation and BAC resolution recommending the award to Tech One Global Phils., Inc. Purchase Order was also prepared awarded to Tech One Global Phils., Inc., signed by VP for Corporate Services Group and President (Head of Procuring Entity). The Customer Relationship

LBP-LFC| Page 12 of 12
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

A SHEEL NO TAX LEE	Component	NATE OF	Chesta bea	Target	LBP LFC Subm	ission	GCG Validat	ion	Supporting	
Objective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2016	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating	Document	Remarks
									Request for Quotation Abstract of Quotation BAC Resolution No. 2016-27 LBP-LFC Purchase Order TECH ONE GLOBAL sales Invoice Document Imaging System Project Proposal from TECH ONE GLOBAL Memo on NAV - CRM System Roll-out Microsoft Dynamics Navision Manual Screenshots of System User Interface Sample output	Management is already rolled out. Per validation, LBP-LFC was able to provide a sample Contacts Report for 2016 from the Customer Relationship Management System.
Sub-tota		15%				13.81%		7.95%		
ТОТА	L	100%				76.48%		68.77%		